

-Experience of the European Court of Justice -

Takao Suami, Waseda Law School



- Regional Integration in East Asia
 - Discussion about the East Asian Community
 - Network of bilateral free trade agreements (FTAs)
 - Regional Integration and Type of Judicial Organs



1. Creation of 'Sui Generis' Legal Order

- The EC established its own legal order (EC/EU law), supranational law
- Not national laws, Not international law
- Implementation of the EC law by national governments



2. 'Private Led' Legal Order

The task of private parties is very important

 Two basic principles developed by judgments of the ECJ



2. 'Private Led' Legal Order

- (1) Supremacy of Community Law
 - Costa v. ENEL in 1964

- (2) Direct Effect of Community Law
 - Van Gend en Loos in 1963



- 2. 'Private Led' Legal Order
- (3) Private party as a guardian of the Community law
 - Private parties bring lawsuits before national courts to protect their Community law rights
 - Alternative legal principles developed by the ECJ, 'indirect effect', 'state liability'



 3. European Integration and the role of law

 Due to lawsuits by private parties, national barriers hindering the free movement of goods, services, people and capital have been gradually eliminated



- 1. Incorporation of the court of justice into the regional integration
 - European Court of Justice (ECJ) and the Court of First Instance (CFI)
 - Wide range of jurisdictions for 'direct actions'
 - Jurisdiction for 'preliminary ruling' procedure
 - Compulsory jurisdiction



2. Judicial activism of the ECJ

- The purposive or teleological method of interpretation
- The ECJ's conclusions were contrary to the intentions of Member States



 3. General Acceptance of Judicial Activism

 Member States have not bitterly attacked the ECJ by reason of its neglect of their intentions



- 4. Factors supporting the judicial activism of the ECJ
- (1) Supranational character of the Community
- (2) Conflict between the Member States
- (3) Self-restraint attitude of the ECJ
- (4) Persuasiveness of reasoning



- 5. Legitimatizing the judicial activism of the ECJ
 - Division of powers produces a need to higher-law judicial review courts to solve a conflict that arises out of that division
 - "Junk-yard dog" theory (the junk-yard dog may bite the junkyard operator as well as the thief in the night)



 1. Necessity of effective dispute settlement mechanism

 Effective mechanism of dispute settlement is considered as a necessary tool to achieve the goal of regional integration



- 2. Dispute settlement mechanism and judicial organs
 - What type of mechanism is optimal for respective regional integration?
- (1) WTO type ad-hoc panel and EU type permanent court
- (2) Recent argument for a permanent organ
- (3) Permanent court or Ad-hoc panel



- 3. Factors being favorable to the court
 - Some factors which seem favorable to the adoption of a permanent court mechanism
- (1) Sovereignty and the court
 - The transfer of sovereignty tend to lead to a permanent court
- (2) Multilateral regional integration
 - Within multilateral integration, the court will probably have more discretion



V. Dispute Settlement Mechanism in East Asia

- 1. Legalization in East Asia
 - WTO members in East Asia have already prepared for their acceptance of the WTO type dispute settlement
- 2. Protecting national sovereignty
 - Very much cautious of transferring their sovereign powers



V. Dispute Settlement Mechanism in East Asia

- 3. Development toward multilateral FTA
 - The FTA network may contain momentum to encourage itself toward a multilateral FTA
- 4. Permanent court in East Asian Regional Integration
 - The system of EFTA Court is acceptable by countries in the East Asia



VI. Concluding remark

- The ECJ is not always a model for regional integration in East Asia
- Consensus on the necessity of effective dispute settlement mechanism for the regional integration
- The impact from the conclusion of many bilateral FTAs in this region
- Comparison EU and WTO